arXiv:1609.00168v3 [math.CA] 10 Feb 2017

Sharp $L^p \to L^r$ estimates for k-plane transforms in finite fields

Doowon Koh and Dongyoon Kwak

Abstract. We study mapping properties of finite field k-plane transforms. Using geometric combinatorics, we do an elaborate analysis to recover the critical endpoint estimate. As a consequence, we obtain optimal $L^p \to L^r$ estimates for all k-plane transforms in the finite field setting. In addition, applying Hölder's inequality to our results, we obtain an estimate for multilinear k-plane transforms.

1. Introduction

Over the last few decades, finite field analogs of Euclidean harmonic analysis problems have been extensively studied. Tom Wolff [**17**] initially proposed the finite field Kakeya problem which was solved by Dvir [**7**] using the polynomial method. Adapting the method, Ellenberg, Oberlin, and Tao [**8**] settled the finite field Kakeya maximal conjecture. In 2004, the finite field restrict problem was also initiated by Mockenhaupt and Tao [**16**]. Like Euclidean case, the finite field restriction conjectures are still open although some progress on this problem has been made by researchers (see, for example, [**14, 13, 11, 15, 12, 9**]). We also refer the reader to Wright's lecture note [**18**] for finite ring restriction problems.

After Mockenhaupt and Tao, the finite field (maximal) averaging problem was formulated and studied by Carbery, Stones, and Wright [**5**]. In the paper, they also initially studied mapping properties of finite field k-plane transforms. The main purpose of this paper is to give the complete answer to the boundedness problem on k-plane transforms in the finite field setting. Let us review the definition and notation related to finite field k-plane transforms. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q^d, d \geq 2$, a d-dimensional vector space over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. We endow $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ with a normalized counting measure $d\mathbf{x}$ so that for $f : \mathbb{F}_q^d \to \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, d\mathbf{x})} = \begin{cases} \left(q^{-d} \sum\limits_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \max\limits_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |f(\mathbf{x})| & \text{if} \quad p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Given a fixed dimension $d \geq 2$, let us choose $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ and denote by M_k the set of all k-planes in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, which means affine subspaces in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ with dimension k. From basic linear algebra, we notice that

$$|M_k| \sim q^{(d-k)(k+1)}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 44A12; 11T99.

Key words and phrases: k-plane transform, discrete Fourier analysis , finite fields

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(NRF-2015R1A1A1A05001374).

Moreover, if $\Pi_{k,s}$ denotes the number of k-planes containing a given s-plane with $0 \le s \le k$, then

$$|\Pi_{k,s}| \sim q^{(d-k)(k-s)}.$$

Throughout this paper, for $X, Y > 0$, we use $X \lesssim Y$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of q such that $X \le CY$, and $X \sim Y$ if $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$. We now endow M_k with a normalized counting measure λ_k so that for $g : M_k \to \mathbb{C}$, we define its integral as

$$\int_{M_k} g(\omega)\ d\lambda_k(\omega) = \frac{1}{|M_k|} \sum_{\omega \in M_k} g(\omega),$$

where $|M_k|$ denotes the cardinality of the set M_k. With the above notation, we define the k-plane transform T_k of a function $f : \mathbb{F}_q^d \to \mathbb{C}$ as

$$T_k f(\omega) = \int_\omega f(\mathbf{x})\ d\sigma_\omega(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{|\omega|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \omega} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $d\sigma_\omega$ denotes the normalized surface measure on the k-plane $\omega \in M_k$. In particular, the operator T_k is called the X-ray transform for $k = 1$ and the Radon transform for $k = d-1$. In this finite field setting, the k-plane transform problem asks us to determine exponents $1 \le p, r \le \infty$ such that the estimate

$$\|T_k f\|_{L^r(M_k, d\lambda_k)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, d\mathbf{x})} \tag{1.1}$$

holds for every function $f : \mathbb{F}_q^d \to \mathbb{C}$, where the operator norm of T_k must be independent of q which is the size of the underlying finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. In the Euclidean setting, as a consequence of mixed norm estimates for the k-plane transform, this problem was completely solved by M. Christ [**4**] who improved on results of Drury [**6**]. On the other hand, Carbery, Stones, and Wright [**5**] obtained sharp restricted type estimates for all k-plane transforms in finite fields. In fact, they proved that for the critical endpoint $(1/p, 1/r) = ((k+1)/(d+1), 1/(d+1))$, the estimate (1.1) holds for all characteristic functions $f = \chi_E$ on any set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. More formally, they obtained the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $d \ge 2$ and $1 \le k \le d-1$. If the estimate*

$$\|T_k f\|_{L^r(G_k, d\lambda_k)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, d\mathbf{x})} \tag{1.2}$$

holds for all functions f on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, then $(1/p, 1/r)$ lies on the convex hull H of

$$((k+1)/(d+1), 1/(d+1)), (0,0), (1,1) \text{ and } (0,1).$$

Conversely, if $(1/p, 1/r)$ lies in $H \setminus ((k+1)/(d+1), 1/(d+1))$, then (1.2) holds for all functions f on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. Furthermore, the restricted type inequality

$$\|T_k f\|_{L^{d+1}(M_k, d\lambda_k)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{d+1}{k+1}, 1}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, d\mathbf{x})} \tag{1.3}$$

holds for all functions f on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$.

1.1. Statement of the main result. The first part of Theorem 1.1 states the necessary condition for the boundedness of the k-plane transform. Note that the necessary condition would be in fact sufficient if the restricted type estimate (1.3) can be extended to a strong type estimate. Therefore, to settle the finite field k-plane transform problem, we only need to establish the strong type $L^{(d+1)/(k+1)} \to L^{d+1}$ estimate. In [**10**], it was already proved that the strong type estimate holds for the X-ray transform ($k = 1$) and the Radon transform ($k = d-1$). To obtain the sharp estimate, methods of the discrete Fourier analysis and geometric combinatorics were used for the Radon transform and the X-ray transform, respectively. In this paper, we extend the work to other k-plane transforms so that we obtain full solution of the finite field k-plane transform problem. The main result we shall prove is as follows.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq (d-1)$. Then we have*

$$\|T_k f\|_{L^{d+1}(M_k, d\lambda_k)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{d+1}{k+1}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, d\mathbf{x})} \quad \text{for all } f \text{ on } \mathbb{F}_q^d.$$

As mentioned before, this theorem for $k = 1$ and $k = d-1$ was already obtained in [**10**] and thus our main result is new for the case $2 \leq k \leq d-2$. It is also known that one can deduce the result of Theorem 1.2 for $k = 1$ (the X-ray transform) by applying the finite field Kakeya maximal conjecture which was solved by Ellenberg, Oberlin, and Tao (see Theorem 1.3 and Remark 1.4 in [**8**]). Likewise one could also derive the results of Theorem 1.2 for $2 \leq k \leq d-1$ if one could prove conjecture on k-plane maximal operator estimates in finite fields (see Conjecture 4.13 in [**8**]). However, the conjecture has not been solved (see [**1**] for the best known result on this problem).

By repeatedly using Hölder's inequality, the following estimate for a multilinear k-plane transform can be deduced from Theorem 1.2.

COROLLARY 1.3. *With the assumption of Theorem 1.2, we have*

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} T_k f_j \right\|_{L^1(M_k, d\lambda_k)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} \|f_j\|_{L^{\frac{d+1}{k+1}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, d\mathbf{x})}$$

for all functions $f_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, (d+1)$, on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$.

PROOF. Since $1 = \sum_{t=1}^{d+1} \frac{1}{d+1}$, if we repeatedly use Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} T_k f_j \right\|_{L^1(M_k, d\lambda_k)} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} \|T_k f_j\|_{L^{d+1}(M_k, d\lambda_k)},$$

and so the statement of corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.2. □

Taking $f = f_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, (d+1)$, notice that Corollary 1.3 also implies Theorem 1.2. It would be interesting to find another proof of Corollary 1.2 (see, for example, [**2, 3**]).

2. Proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.2)

We start proving Theorem 1.2 by making certain reductions. We aim to prove for each integer $1 \leq k \leq (d-1)$ that the estimate

$$\|T_k f\|_{L^{d+1}(M_k, d\lambda_k)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{d+1}{k+1}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, d\mathbf{x})} = \left(q^{-d} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^{\frac{d+1}{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{d+1}} \tag{2.1}$$

holds for all functions f on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, where we recall that M_k denotes the collection of all affine k-planes in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that f is a non-negative real-valued function and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} f(\mathbf{x})^{\frac{d+1}{k+1}} = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus, we also assume that $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$. Furthermore, we may assume that f is written by a step function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} E_i(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2.3}$$

where E_i's are disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ and we write $E(\mathbf{x})$ for the characteristic function χ_E on a set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, which allows us to use a simple notation. From (2.2) and (2.3), we also assume that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{(d+1)j}{k+1}} |E_j| = 1 \quad \text{and so } |E_j| \le 2^{\frac{(d+1)j}{k+1}} \text{ for all } j = 0, 1, \cdots . \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, to prove (2.1), it suffices to prove that

$$\|T_k f\|^{d+1}_{L^{d+1}(M_k, d\lambda_k)} \lesssim q^{-d(k+1)}, \tag{2.5}$$

for all functions f such that the conditions (2.3), (2.4) hold. Since we have assumed that $f \ge 0$, it is clear that $T_k f$ is also a non-negative real-valued function on M_k. By expanding the left hand side of the above inequality (2.5) and using the facts that $|\omega| = q^k$ for $\omega \in M_k$ and $|M_k| \sim q^{(d-k)(k+1)}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_k f\|^{d+1}_{L^{d+1}(M_k, d\lambda_k)} &= \frac{1}{|M_k|} \sum_{\omega \in M_k} \left(T_k f(\omega)\right)^{d+1} \\
&\sim \frac{1}{q^{k(d+1)}} \frac{1}{q^{(d-k)(k+1)}} \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_d=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+\cdots+i_d)} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}^0,\ldots,\mathbf{x}^d) \in E_{i_0}\times\cdots\times E_{i_d}} \sum_{\omega \in M_k} \omega(\mathbf{x}^0)\ldots\omega(\mathbf{x}^d) \\
&\sim \frac{1}{q^{k(d+1)}} \frac{1}{q^{(d-k)(k+1)}} \sum_{0=i_0\le i_1 \le \cdots \le i_d < \infty} 2^{-(i_0+\cdots+i_d)} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}^0,\ldots,\mathbf{x}^d) \in E_{i_0}\times\cdots\times E_{i_d}} \sum_{\omega \in M_k} \omega(\mathbf{x}^0)\ldots\omega(\mathbf{x}^d),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the symmetry of $i_0, \cdots, i_d$. Now, we decompose the sum over $(\mathbf{x}^0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^d) \in E_{i_0} \times \cdots \times E_{i_d}$ as

$$\sum_{(\mathbf{x}^0,\ldots,\mathbf{x}^d) \in E_{i_0}\times\cdots\times E_{i_d}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}^0,\ldots,\mathbf{x}^d) \in \Delta(s,i_0,\ldots,i_d)},$$

where $\Delta(s, i_0, \ldots, i_d) := \{(\mathbf{x}^0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^d) \in E_{i_0} \times \cdots \times E_{i_d} : [\mathbf{x}^0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^d] \text{ is a } s\text{-plane}\}$ and $[\mathbf{x}^0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^d]$ denotes the smallest affine subspace containing the elements $\mathbf{x}^0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^d$. Now, notice that if $s > k$ and $(\mathbf{x}^0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^d) \in \Delta(s, i_0, \ldots, i_d)$, then the sum over $\omega \in M_k$ vanishes. On the other hand, if $0 \le s \le k$, then the sum over $\omega \in M_k$ is same as the number of k-planes containing the unique s-plane, that is $\sim q^{(d-k)(k-s)}$. From these observations and (2.5), our task is to show that for all $E_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots$, satisfying the condition (2.4),

$$\sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 \ge i_0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_d \ge i_{d-1}}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)} \sum_{s=0}^{k} |\Delta(s, i_0, \ldots, i_d)| q^{-s(d-k)} \lesssim 1. \tag{2.6}$$

In [**10**], it was shown that this inequality holds true for a simple case $k = 1$, and so the sharp estimate for the X-ray transform was obtained. However, when $k \ge 2$ and the dimension d becomes bigger, it is not a simple problem to prove (2.6), because a lot of complicate cases happen in finding an upper bound of $|\Delta(s, i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_d)|$. In the following subsections, we shall prove (2.6) by making further reductions so that the proof of Theorem 1.2 will be complete.

2.1. Proof of (2.6)**.** For each $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k$, it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 \ge i_0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_d \ge i_{d-1}}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)} |\Delta(s, i_0, \ldots, i_d)| q^{-s(d-k)} \lesssim 1. \tag{2.7}$$

First fix $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k$ and the sets $E_{i_0}, E_{i_1}, \ldots, E_{i_d}$. To find an upper bound of $|\Delta(s, i_0, \ldots, i_d)|$, we shall decompose the set $\Delta(s, i_0, \ldots, i_d)$ as a union of its disjoint subsets. For each $(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^d) \in \Delta(s, i_0, \ldots, i_d)$ there are unique nonnegative integers $\ell_0, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_s$ with $0 = \ell_0 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \ldots <$

$\ell_{s-1} < \ell_s \le d$ such that $\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\ell_j}$ determine a j-plane and $\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\ell_j - 1}$ determine a $(j-1)$-plane for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, where we define $\ell_0 = 0$. Therefore, we can write

$$\Delta(s, i_0, \dots, i_d) = \bigcup_{0=\ell_0<\ell_1<\ell_2<\cdots<\ell_s\le d} L(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s),$$

where $L(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$ consists of those members $(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^d) \in \Delta(s, i_0, \dots, i_d)$ such that for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, the affine span of $\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\ell_j}$ is of dimension j and the affine span of $\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\ell_j - 1}$ is of dimension $j-1$. Now, let us find an upper bound of $|L(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)|$ where $0 = \ell_0 < \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_s \le d$. It is clear that if $(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^d) \in L(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$, then there are at most $|E_{i_{\ell_j}}|$ choices for $\mathbf{x}^{\ell_j}, j = 0, 1, \dots, s$. In addition, if $\ell_j < t < \ell_{j+1}$, [1] then there are at most $\min\{|E_{i_t}|, q^j\}$ choices for $\mathbf{x}^t$, because the point $\mathbf{x}^t$ must be contained in the affine j-plane of points $\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\ell_j}$, otherwise t would be greater than or equal to ℓ_{j+1} by the definition of $L(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$. From these observations, it follows that

$$|L(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)| \le \prod_{j=0}^{s} \left(|E_{i_{\ell_j}}| \prod_{t=\ell_j+1}^{\ell_{j+1}-1} \min\{|E_{i_t}|, q^j\} \right), \tag{2.8}$$

where we define that if $\ell_{j+1} = \ell_j + 1$, then

$$\prod_{t=\ell_j+1}^{\ell_{j+1}-1} \min\{|E_{i_t}|, q^j\} = 1.$$

Let $A = \{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s\} : \ell_{j+1} \ne \ell_j + 1\}$. Since $\sum_{j\in A}(\ell_{j+1} - \ell_j - 1) = d - s \ge d - k$, the right hand side of (2.8) has at least $(d-k)$ factors each of which takes a form $\min\{|E_{i_t}|, q^j\}$ for some $j \in A$ and t with $\ell_j + 1 \le t \le \ell_{j+1} - 1$. Now, we estimate that $\min\{|E_{i_t}|, q^j\} \le q^j$ for the $(d-k)$ largest numbers in the set of such t, and $\min\{|E_{i_t}|, q^j\} \le |E_{i_t}|$ for the rest $(k-s)$ numbers t. By this way, we can obtain an upper bound of the right hand side of (2.8) which we shall denote by $U(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$. For example, if $d = 7, k = 4, s = 2, \ell_0 = 0, \ell_1 = 2, \ell_2 = 5$, then

$$U(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s) = |E_{i_0}||E_{i_1}||E_{i_2}||E_{i_3}|q|E_{i_5}|q^2q^2.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta(s, i_0, \dots, i_d)| &\lesssim \max_{0=\ell_0<\ell_1<\cdots<\ell_s\le d} |L(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)| \\ &\le \max_{0=\ell_0<\ell_1<\cdots<\ell_s\le d} U(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to prove the estimate (2.7), it is enough to show that for every $s = 0, 1, \dots, k$ and $0 = \ell_0 < \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_s \le d$,

$$\sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1\ge i_0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_d \ge i_{d-1}}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)}\, U(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)\; q^{-s(d-k)} \lesssim 1. \tag{2.9}$$

We claim that it suffices to prove this estimate (2.9) only for the case when $s = k$. This claim follows by observing from the definition of U that given a value $U(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)$ for $s = 0, 1, \dots, (k-1)$, we can choose numbers $\ell_1', \ell_2', \dots, \ell_{s+1}'$ with $1 \le \ell_1' < \ell_2' < \dots, \ell_{s+1}' \le d$ such that

$$U(s, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)\; q^{d-k} = U(s+1, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1', \ell_2', \dots, \ell_{s+1}').$$

In fact, $\{\ell_1', \ell_2', \dots, \ell_{s+1}'\}$ can be selected by adding one number, say ℓ', to $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s\}$, where $\ell' = \ell_{j_0} + 1$ and j_0 is defined by

$$j_0 = \min\{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s\} : \ell_{j+1} \ne \ell_j + 1\}.$$

[1] Throughout this paper we shall assume that $\ell_{s+1} = d + 1$.

Therefore, our final task is to prove that for every nonnegative integers $\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k$ with $0 = \ell_0 < \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_k \le d$, we have

$$S := \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 \ge i_0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_d \ge i_{d-1}}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)}\ U(k, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)\ q^{-k(d-k)} \lesssim 1. \tag{2.10}$$

This shall be proved in the following subsection.

2.2. Proof of the estimate (2.10)**.** We begin with a preliminary lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *With the notation above, we have*

$$q^{-k(d-k)}\ U(k, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k) = \left(\prod_{t=0}^{k} |E_{i_{\ell_t}}| \right) \left(q^{-\sum_{t=1}^{k} (\ell_t - t)} \right).$$

PROOF. By the definition of U, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} U(k, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k) &= \prod_{t=0}^{k} |E_{i_{\ell_t}}|\ q^{t(\ell_{t+1} - \ell_t - 1)} \\ &= \left(\prod_{t=0}^{k} |E_{i_{\ell_t}}| \right) \left(q^{\sum_{t=0}^{k} t(\ell_{t+1} - \ell_t - 1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell_0 = 0$ and $\ell_{k+1} = d + 1$. It follows that

$$q^{-k(d-k)}\ U(k, i_0, \dots, i_d, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k) = \left(\prod_{t=0}^{k} |E_{i_{\ell_t}}| \right) \left(q^{-k(d-k) + \sum_{t=1}^{k} t(\ell_{t+1} - \ell_t - 1)} \right).$$

Thus, the proof of Lemma 2.1 will be complete if we show that

$$-k(d-k) + \sum_{t=1}^{k} t(\ell_{t+1} - \ell_t - 1) = -\sum_{t=1}^{k} (\ell_t - t).$$

To prove this equality, observe that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{k} t(\ell_{t+1} - \ell_t) = -\ell_1 - \ell_2 - \cdots - \ell_k + k\ell_{k+1} = \left(-\sum_{t=1}^{k} \ell_t \right) + k(d+1).$$

Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} -k(d-k) + \sum_{t=1}^{k} t(\ell_{t+1} - \ell_t - 1) &= -k(d-k) - \sum_{t=1}^{k} \ell_t + k(d+1) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} - \sum_{t=1}^{k} \ell_t = \sum_{t=1}^{k} t - \sum_{t=1}^{k} \ell_t = -\sum_{t=1}^{k} (\ell_t - t). \end{aligned}$$

□

We shall give the complete proof of the estimate (2.10). From Lemma 2.1, we aim to prove that

$$S = \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 \ge i_0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_d \ge i_{d-1}}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)} \left(\prod_{t=0}^{k} |E_{i_{\ell_t}}| \right) \left(q^{-\sum_{t=1}^{k} (\ell_t - t)} \right) \lesssim 1.$$

Write the term S as

$$S = \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_d=0}^{\infty} 1_{i_0\le i_1\le\cdots\le i_d}\ 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)}\left(\prod_{t=0}^{k}|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|\right)\left(q^{-\sum_{t=1}^{k}(\ell_t-t)}\right),$$

where we define that $1_{i_0\le i_1\le\cdots\le i_d}=1$ if $i_0\le i_1\le\cdots\le i_d$, and 0 otherwise. By the Fubini theorem, we can decompose the sums as follows.[2]

$$S = \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_d=0}^{\infty} = \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_1}=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_2}=0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{\ell_k}=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{j_1}=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{j_2}=0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{j_{d-k}}=0}^{\infty}, \tag{2.11}$$

where $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{d-k}$ denote natural numbers such that $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{d-k}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}\backslash\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_k\}$ and $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{d-k} \le d$. For each $j_t,\ t = 1, 2, \dots, d-k$, let us denote by $< j_t >$ the greatest element of $\{\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k\}$ less than j_t. From the definition of $1_{i_0\le i_1\le\cdots\le i_d}$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} S &\le \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_1}\ge i_0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{\ell_k}\ge i_{\ell_{k-1}}}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{j_1}\ge i_{<j_1>}}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{j_2}\ge i_{<j_2>}}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{j_{d-k}}\ge i_{<j_{d-k}>}}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)}\left(\prod_{t=0}^{k}|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|\right)\left(q^{-\sum_{t=1}^{k}(\ell_t-t)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_1}\ge i_0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{\ell_k}\ge i_{\ell_{k-1}}}^{\infty}\left(\prod_{t=0}^{k}|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|\right)\left(q^{-\sum_{t=1}^{k}(\ell_t-t)}\right)\left(\sum_{i_{j_1}\ge i_{<j_1>}}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{j_{d-k}}\ge i_{<j_{d-k}>}}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Inner sums can be computed by using a simple fact that the value of a convergent geometric series is similar to the first term of the series. In addition, use the definition of $< j_t >,\ t = 1, 2, \dots, d-k$, and a simple fact that there are $(\ell_{t+1}-\ell_t-1)$ natural numbers between ℓ_t and ℓ_{t+1} for $t = 0, 1, \dots, k$. We are led to the estimate

$$\sum_{i_{j_1}\ge i_{<j_1>}}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{j_2}\ge i_{<j_2>}}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{j_{d-k}}\ge i_{<j_{d-k}>}}^{\infty} 2^{-(i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d)} \sim \prod_{t=0}^{k} 2^{-(\ell_{t+1}-\ell_t)i_{\ell_t}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S &\lesssim \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_1}\ge i_0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{\ell_k}\ge i_{\ell_{k-1}}}^{\infty}\left(\prod_{t=0}^{k}|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|\right)\left(q^{-\sum_{t=1}^{k}(\ell_t-t)}\right)\left(\prod_{t=0}^{k} 2^{-(\ell_{t+1}-\ell_t)i_{\ell_t}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_1}\ge i_0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{\ell_k}\ge i_{\ell_{k-1}}}^{\infty}\left(|E_{i_0}|\ 2^{-\ell_1 i_0}\right)\left(\prod_{t=1}^{k}|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|\ q^{-\ell_t+t}\ 2^{-(\ell_{t+1}-\ell_t)i_{\ell_t}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Now, we shall observe that for each $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|\ q^{-\ell_t+t} \le 2^{\frac{(d+1)(d-\ell_t+t)}{d(k+1)}i_{\ell_t}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Since $|E_{i_{\ell_t}}| \le q^d$ (namely, $|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|^{1/d} \le q$), and $\ell_t - t \ge 0$, it is obvious that

$$|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|^{\frac{\ell_t-t}{d}} \le q^{\ell_t-t} \quad \text{or} \quad |E_{i_{\ell_t}}|^{\frac{\ell_t-t}{d}} q^{-\ell_t+t} \le 1.$$

On the other hand, we see from (2.4) that

$$|E_{i_{\ell_t}}| \le 2^{\frac{(d+1)}{k+1}i_{\ell_t}}.$$

[2]To simplify notation, the general term was omitted.

Then (2.13) is easily shown by observing

$$\begin{aligned}|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|\ q^{-\ell_t+t} &= |E_{i_{\ell_t}}|^{1-\frac{(\ell_t-t)}{d}}|E_{i_{\ell_t}}|^{\frac{(\ell_t-t)}{d}}q^{-\ell_t+t}\\ &\le |E_{i_{\ell_t}}|^{\frac{d-\ell_t+t}{d}} \le 2^{\frac{(d+1)(d-\ell_t+t)}{d(k+1)}i_{\ell_t}}.\end{aligned}$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$S \lesssim \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_1}\ge i_0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_2}\ge i_{\ell_1}}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{\ell_k}\ge i_{\ell_{k-1}}}^{\infty}\left(|E_{i_0}|\ 2^{-\ell_1 i_0}\right)\left(\prod_{t=1}^{k}2^{\left(\frac{(d+1)(d-\ell_t+t)}{d(k+1)}-\ell_{t+1}+\ell_t\right)i_{\ell_t}}\right). \tag{2.14}$$

Using a simple fact that the value of a convergent geometric series is similar as the first term of the series, we shall repeatedly compute the inner sums

$$\mathrm{I} := \sum_{i_{\ell_1}\ge i_0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_{\ell_2}\ge i_{\ell_1}}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{i_{\ell_k}\ge i_{\ell_{k-1}}}^{\infty}\left(\prod_{t=1}^{k}2^{\left(\frac{(d+1)(d-\ell_t+t)}{d(k+1)}-\ell_{t+1}+\ell_t\right)i_{\ell_t}}\right) \tag{2.15}$$

from the variable i_{ℓ_k} to the variable i_{ℓ_1}. However, to repeatly compute the inner sums we must make sure that each geometric series converges. To assert that each series is convergent, it will be enough to show that for every $r = 1, 2, \ldots, k$,

$$\sum_{t=r}^{k}\left(\frac{(d+1)(d-\ell_t+t)}{d(k+1)}-\ell_{t+1}+\ell_t\right) < 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Now le us see why (2.16) holds. Multiplying (2.16) by the factor $d(k+1)$, we see that the statement (2.16) is same as

$$\sum_{t=r}^{k}\left((d+1)(d-\ell_t+t)+d(k+1)(\ell_t-\ell_{t+1})\right) < 0.$$

Since $\sum_{t=r}^{k} d = d(k-r+1)$ and $\sum_{t=r}^{k}(\ell_t-\ell_{t+1}) = \ell_r - \ell_{k+1} = \ell_r - (d+1)$, the above condition is equivalent to

$$(d+1)\left(d(k-r+1)+\sum_{t=r}^{k}(t-\ell_t)\right)+d(k+1)\ell_r - d(d+1)(k+1) < 0.$$

Write $d(k+1)\ell_r = d(k+1)(\ell_r - r) + dr(k+1)$ and try to simplify the left hand side of the above inequality. Then, for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, we can easily see that the above inequality becomes

$$dr(k-d)+(1-dk)(r-\ell_r)+(d+1)\sum_{t=r+1}^{k}(t-\ell_t) < 0,$$

where we assume that $\sum_{t=r+1}^{k}(t-\ell_t) = 0$ if $k = 1$. This condition is clearly same as

$$(dk-1)(\ell_r - r) < dr(d-k)+(d+1)\sum_{t=r+1}^{k}(\ell_t - t). \tag{2.17}$$

To prove this equality, let $\alpha = \ell_r - r \ge 0$. Since $\ell_0, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k$ are nonnegative integers with $0 = \ell_0 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_k \le d$, it is clear that $\alpha = \ell_r - r \le \ell_t - t$ for all $t \ge r$. Therefore, to prove (2.17), it will be enough to show that for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, k$,

$$(dk-1)\alpha < dr(d-k)+(d+1)(k-r)\alpha.$$

Soving for α, this inequality is equivalent to

$$\alpha < \frac{dr(d-k)}{dr-k+r-1}. \tag{2.18}$$

Now, observe that for each $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the maximum value of ℓ_r happens in the case when $\ell_k = d,\ \ell_{k-1} = d-1,\ \ell_{k-2} = d-2, \dots, \ell_r = d-k+r$. This implies that $\alpha = \ell_r - r \le d-k$. Hence, to prove (2.2), it suffices to show that for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k < d$,

$$d-k < \frac{dr(d-k)}{dr-k+r-1},$$

which is equivalent to the inequality $r < k+1$. Since $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$, this inequality clearly holds. This proves (2.16) which implies that each of inner sums (2.15) is a convergent geometric series whose value is similar to its first term. Computing the sum I in (2.15) by this fact, we have

$$\mathrm{I} \sim 2^{i_0\left(\sum_{t=1}^{k} \frac{(d+1)(d-\ell_t+t)}{d(k+1)} - \ell_{t+1} + \ell_t\right)}$$

As before, since $\ell_{k+1} = d+1$ and $\sum_{t=1}^{k}(\ell_t - \ell_{t+1}) = \ell_1 - \ell_{k+1}$, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=1}^{k}\left(\frac{(d+1)(d-\ell_t+t)}{d(k+1)} - \ell_{t+1} + \ell_t\right) &= -\frac{(d+1)}{k+1} + \ell_1 + \frac{d+1}{d(k+1)}\sum_{t=1}^{k}(t-\ell_t) \\ &\le -\frac{(d+1)}{k+1} + \ell_1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that

$$\mathrm{I} \lesssim 2^{i_0\left(-\frac{(d+1)}{k+1} + \ell_1\right)}.$$

Recall the definition of I in (2.15). Then Combining this estimate with (2.14) yields

$$S \lesssim \sum_{i_0=0}^{\infty} |E_{i_0}| 2^{-\frac{(d+1)}{k+1} i_0} = 1,$$

where the equality follows from (2.4). Thus, we finish the proof.

References

[1] J. Bueti, *An incidence bound for k-planes in $\mathbb{F}^n$ and a planar variant of the Kakeya maximal function*, preprint.

[2] J. Bennett, A. Carbery, and J. Wright, *A non-linear Loomis-Whitney inequality and applications*, Math. Res. Letters, **12** (2005), no.4, 443-457.

[3] A. Carbery, *A multilinear generalisation of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalitiy*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no.11, 3141-3152.

[4] M. Christ, *Estimates for the k-plane transform,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **33** (1984), 891-910.

[5] A. Carbery, B. Stones, and J. Wright, *Averages in vector spaces over finite fields,* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **144** (2008), no.1, 13-27.

[6] S.W. Drury, *Generalizations of Riesz potentials and L^p estimates for certain k-plane transforms,* Illinois J. Math. **28** (1984), 495-512.

[7] Z. Dvir, *On the size of Kakeya sets in finite fields*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 1093-1097.

[8] J. S. Ellenberg, R. Oberlin, and T. Tao, *The Kakeya set and maximal conjectures for algebraic varieties over finite fields*, Mathematika, **56**(2009), no.1, 1-25.

[9] A. Iosevich and D. Koh, *Extension theorems for spheres in the finite field setting*, Forum. Math. **22** (2010), no.3, 457–483.

[10] D. Koh, *Sharp endpoint estimates for the X-ray transform and the Radon transform in finite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), no.8, 2799-2808.

[11] D. Koh, *Conjecture and improved extension theorems for paraboloids in the finite field setting*, preprint (2016).

[12] D. Koh and C. Shen, *Sharp extension theorems and Falconer distance problems for algebraic curves in two dimensional vector spaces over finite fields* , Rev. Mat. Iberoam., **28** (2012), no.1, 157-178.

[13] M. Lewko, *New restriction estimates for the 3-d paraboloid over finite fields*, Adv. Math. **270** (2015), no.1, 457-479.
[14] M. Lewko, *Finite field restriction estimates based on Kakeya maximal operator estimates*, arXiv:1401.8011.
[15] A. Lewko and M. Lewko, *Endpoint restriction estimates for the paraboloid over finite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), 2013-2028.
[16] G. Mockenhaupt and T. Tao, *Restriction and Kakeya phenomena for finite fields,* Duke Math.J. **121** (2004), 35-74.
[17] T. Wolff, *Recent work connected with the Kakeya problem*, Prospects in mathematics (Princeton, NJ, 1996), 129-162, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
[18] J. Wright, *Discrete analogues in harmonic analysis*, Poland Lectures - November 2013, http://www.math.uni.wroc.pl/∼jdziuban/poland-lectures-wright.pdf.

Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju Chungbuk 28644, Korea
E-mail address: koh131@chungbuk.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju Chungbuk 28644, Korea
E-mail address: yoon0506@chungbuk.ac.kr